

AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY

Logistics Technology Assessment

LOGTEC, Inc. 1825 Commerce Center Blvd. Fairborn, OH 45324

> Paul Faas Stephanie Swindler Krystal Thomas

Air Force Research Laboratory

December 2005

Final Technical Memo for September 2004 to December 2005

20061115258

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Human Effectiveness Directorate Warfighter Readiness Research Division Logistics Readiness Branch 2698 G Street Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-7604

NOTICE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by the Air Force Research Laboratory Wright Site (AFRL/WS) Public Affairs Office (PAO) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). It will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield VA 22161

Federal Government agencies and their contractors registered with Defense Technical Information Center should direct requests for copies of this report to:

Defense Technical Information Center 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., STE 0944, Ft Belvoir VA 22060-6218

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL

AFRL-HE-WP-TM-2006-0001

THIS TECHNICAL REPORT HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION.

FOR THE COMMANDER

//SIGNED//

MICHAEL E. MORRIS Lt Col, USAF Director of Operations, Warfighter Readiness Research Division Air Force Research Laboratory

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
December 2005	Final Technical Memo	September 2004 - December 2005
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
•		FA8650-04-C-6545
Logistics Technology Asses	sment	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	•	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
	•	63231F
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Paul A. Faas, Stephanie Sw	indler, Krystal Thomas	
•		5e. TASK NUMBER
	and the second s	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
		49230214
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S	S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
LOGTEC, Inc.		NOMBEN
1825 Commerce Center Blvd.		
Fairborn, OH		
		·
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(C) AND ADDRESS(FS)	40 CDONCOD/MONITORIC ACDONIVA(C)
Air Force Materiel Command	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/HEAL
	· · · · ·	ACKL/ HEAL
Air Force Research Laborato	_	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
Human Effectiveness Directo		NUMBER(S)
Warfighter Readiness Resear	cch Division	110111201 1107
Logistics Readiness Branch		
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 454		AFRL-HE-WP-TM-2006-0001
40 DICTRIBUTION (AVAILABILITY CTATE	SAFAIT	

12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Cleared by AFRL/PA, on 10 October 06, Case # AFRL/WS-06-2391.

14. ABSTRACT

This report documents the research and development effort conducted under contract by the LOGTEC Corporation for the Logistics Readiness Branch. The original intent of the contract was for the government to host two logistics workshops to unfold the future research and development areas that most appealed to the logistics operators with the contractor in a supporting role. After a change in management direction soon after contract award, this effort was deemed unsupportable by the government. and as a result was de-scoped to include only the work that had already been accomplished. The work accomplished to that date included contractor supported logistics survey development and data collection from subject matter experts at the Air Force Institute of Technology. These same surveys, which were modified by government personnel, were also taken to the annual Logistics Officers Association conference and were administered by government personnel. These survey responses captured the logistics needs from officers across Air Force specialties which in turn assisted the branch in shaping and planning future research endeavors.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

Collaboration, Focused Logistics, Logistics, Modeling and Simulation, Human-Computer Interface

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Paul A. Faas
a. REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	b. ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	c. THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	SAR	. 8	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239.18

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Logistics Technology Assessment - Technical Memo

Authors: Paul Faas, Stephanie Swindler, and Krystal Thomas AFRL/HEAL

Summary

This report documents the research and development effort conducted under contract by the LOGTEC Corporation for the Logistics Readiness Branch. The original intent of the contract was for the government to host two logistics workshops to unfold the future research and development areas that most appealed to the logistics operators with the contractor in a supporting role. After a change in management direction soon after contract award, this effort was deemed unsupportable by the government and as a result was de-scoped to include only the work that had already been accomplished. The work accomplished to that date included contractor supported logistics survey development and data collection from subject matter experts at the Air Force Institute of Technology. These same surveys, which were modified by government personnel, were also taken to the annual Logistics Officers Association conference and were administered by government personnel. These survey responses captured the logistics needs from officers across Air Force specialties which in turn assisted the branch in shaping and planning future research endeavors.

Background

The Air Force is in the process of transforming itself into a capabilities-focused Expeditionary Air and Space Force. According to CSAF Gen Jumper, "Our goal is to make warfighting effects, and the capabilities we need to achieve them, the drivers for everything we do." The Air Force is using a new approach called the CRRA, Capabilities Review and Risk Assessment, to analyze needs defined in capability terms, to measure risk, to prioritize capability objectives, and to identify technology gaps. The goal of the CRRA process and related initiatives has generated a need to translate investments in science and technology into an effects-based, capability-focused planning process. Based on this new thrust, the Air Force needs to have an integrated, corporate process to gather logistics-oriented needs, deficiencies, and science and technology investments into a coherent and efficient plan consistent with capability-based planning. This task was an effort to identify those requirements, currently described in multiple operational planning documents, and match them with the future science and technology programs aimed at meeting them. The stakeholders that are involved in an improved process for identifying and integrating logistics requirements include the Logistics Readiness Branch (AFRL/HEAL) and the Air Force Research Laboratory generally, the Air Staff (AF/A4), Air Force Logistics Management Agency, Air Force Material Command, and all Major Command logistics staffs

Methods

The work was to be accomplished in three phases. The first phase, to be accomplished by the government, was to develop a computer-based tool for documenting and managing Air Force logistics-oriented requirements, needs, and related studies, research, and technology developments. The second phase would address the scope and content of the

science and technology needed to satisfy unmet logistics needs. This work would have helped to refine S&T investment plans in light of this new Air Force strategy. Two Logistics S&T Workshops were to be conducted by the government with the contractor assistance, as well as the development and administration of a survey to validate the Top 12 logistics needs resulting from a workshop conducted by HEAL in June 2003. The survey was performed through a combination of web-based questionnaires and solicitation of expert opinions from meetings and conferences and other sources. The annual meeting of the Logistics Officers Association was determined to be a potentially rich source of logistics expert opinion that could be very economically captured for this purpose. After evaluating the results of the survey, the first workshop was to be conducted by assembling a panel of noted scientists and technologists to present model solutions in the form of research templates against the most important needs/requirements identified by the survey and as selected by the government.

The third phase was to unfold the scientific and technological challenges and opportunities that current logistics needs/requirements are presenting. A second workshop was planned to identify the gaps in current science and technology baselines related to the previously established Top 12 needs in order to unfold the specific research strategies that had the best chance of satisfying them. The previous workshop and survey results identified the top level "whats." The next workshop was to identify the low-level "hows." This would have required the expertise of both logistics customers and logistics scientists and engineers.

Survey of Logistics Needs/Deficiencies

Using the Top 12 needs and deficiencies identified by the 2003 workshop, the contractor assisted the government in developing a survey (see Appendix A) and data collection strategies to further refine the list of top logistics needs and deficiencies. In addition, the Air Mobility Master Plan and the Agile Combat Support Mission Area Plan was analyzed to augment the list of needs and deficiencies. Other relevant documents, such as the various CONOPS for capability-based planning, Depot Modernization, and Sense and Respond Logistics Capabilities supporting military transformation, were also analyzed to reveal significant unmet science and technology needs applicable to the AFRL research mission in general and the overall Air Force mission.

After evaluating the results of the survey and inputs from the workshops, the contractor was to develop solution sets for targeted needs/requirements in the form of research templates. The objective was to uncover and explicate the substance of research efforts that might be undertaken by the Logistics Readiness Branch to meet required capabilities in targeted needs/requirements. In developing these solution sets, the contractor was to consider the unique mission of the Logistics Readiness Branch in human-centered research and technology in logistics.

Results

The contractor assisted the government in collecting data at two locations. The first location was a class at AFIT; the second location was the Logistics Officer's Association Annual Meeting in Las Vegas, NV. The results are shown in Table 1.

The majority of the respondents were Captains (40%), followed by 1st Lieutenants (18.2%), and Majors (14.5%). The majority of respondents were military (89.1%) and 80% were on active duty. The years of experience in maintenance ranged from 0 to 30 with a mean of 7.44 (sd=8.255). The years of experience in Logistics ranged from 0 to 28 and with a mean of 5.56 (sd=6.577).

Respondents were able to rate the *importance* and *priority* of each need on a 5-point Likert Scale ranging from 1 being a Low Priority/Least Important to 5 being High Priority/Most Important. After analyzing the means for each need based on importance and priority, two needs were ranked the highest in priority and importance; **Logistics Infosphere** and **Maintenance Performance**. Although no need was ranked below the midpoint on either scale, the need, Support for Logistics Wargaming/Simulation was ranked the lowest on both scales. Please refer to the table below for the means and standard deviations of all needs on both scales.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

NEED	N	Mean	SD
*Importance of Logistics Infosphere	172	4.24	0.769
*Priority of Logistics Infosphere	171	4.05	0.900
Importance of Logistics Planning	167	4.02	0.944
Priority of Logistics Planning	166	3.75	1.018
*Importance of Maintenance Performance	167	4.19	0.942
*Priority of Maintenance Performance	167	4.02	0.991
Importance of Support for Logistics Wargaming/Simulation	168	3.54	1.032
Priority of Support for Logistics Wargaming/Simulation	170	3.22	1.124
Importance of Workforce Issues	.171	4.11	0,930
Priority of Workforce Issues	172	3.92	1.051
Importance of Maintenance Management Information Systems	. 164	4.01	0.829
Priority of Maintenance Management Information Systems	165	3.84	0.937
Importance of Joint/Coalition Logistics	169	3.99	0.935
Priority of Joint/Coalition Logistics	173	3.71	1.156
Importance of Logistics Support Factors in Design/Acquisition	169	3.82	0.917
Priority of Logistics Support Factors in Design/Acquisition	169	3.53	0.988

Note. Star indicates needs with highest priority and most important.

Conclusions

The results of the survey indicate the need for additional investigation and research in the areas of Logistics Infosphere and Maintenance Performance. Both of these areas of research are being pursued through the Focused Long Term Challenge (FLTC) planning effort.

APPENDIX A

F = a :	2 Οως	1 22.		114					~ >	. 40 0 12 12
e	- Lo	ما ا	1 40	م ا	w	ما	مد ا	ا ا		
			_	-	-	"]	Dunger La	
· È	4	4	4	4	4	4.	4	4		1
PRIORITY	₆	₩.	m	n .	m	, n	, n	n		
£ 3	۱ ۸	74	N N	7	7	74	14	и		
_ }	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
AFRL/HÉAL Survey of Logistics & Mx Technology Needs for Research Purposes	Logistics introsphere (integrating multiple sources of information into a common logistics operating picture. Includes total asset visibility)	Logistics planning (tying log plans to operational plans for adaptive, precise logistics support)	Maintenance performance (improving mean time to repair through better troubleshooting support, tech data, battle damage repair, logistics maintainability, improved BIT/FIT diagnostics, etc.)	Support for logistics wargaming/simulation (incorporating logistics factors more realistically in wargames, exercises, and modeling developments)	Workforce issues (better training, retention, utilization, job aiding, job structures, etc.)	Maintenance management information systems (includes more accurate data collection and analysis, failure prediction/prognostics, etc.)	Joint/Coalition logistics (procedures and technologies allowing better coordination with services and coalition partners)	Logistics support factors in design/acquisition (includes design for supportability incorporating weapons design systems, and digital production of tech data, training media, etc.)		AFSC MAJCOM AFSC TO THE MAJCOM Years of experience in: Mx Logistics Choose one: Military Retired Civilian Choose one: Active GUARD RES
Nost Most	م	ro.	မ	မ	rc C	ıo.	ю	ю	_	ience in Military. Active
IMPORTANCE	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		xperi e:
RT.	₆	m	က	က	80	က	က	က		AFSC Years of exp Choose one: Choose one:
IMPC	7	8	74	7	8	7	7	8		AFSC Years Choos Choos
= =	-	-	~	₩.	~	-	+	۴-		なびやずむ

The purpose of this survey is to get your opinion concerning Logistics and Maintenance needs in order to focus our research & development efforts on improving existing Mx and Logistics work environments. Please circle the number that you think best represents the importance and priority of the following Air Force Logistics needs:

.5

Optional — List three other logistics needs amenable to science and technology solutions that you think deserve to be highlighted. Again, the perspective is warfighting utility. Then, rank the logistics issues in order of importance (1=most important and 3=least important):

Issue

lssue	enssi
	Issue

Any further comments or suggestions?

Your decision to complete this survey is absolutely voluntary. No one has coerced or intimidated you into completing this survey. AFRL/HEAL representatives have adequately answered any and all questions you have about this survey and the procedures involved. You may withdraw from completing this survey at any time and discontinue further participation in this study without prejudice to your entitlements. If you have any questions or comments, please contact Capt. Randall at (DSN) 986-4145 or (COMM) 937-656-4145.